### **ORDER SHEET**

# WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

#### Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag

& The Hon'ble Subesh Kumar Das

#### Case No - OA 1655 OF 2007

#### BISWAJIT SOREN $\underline{\mathrm{Vs}}$ The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessar
1		3
22	For the Applicant : Shrimati Sunita Agarwal	
22	Learned Advocate	
12.04.2018	For the State Respondent: Shri Subit Kumar Mandal	
	Learned Advocate	
	The applicant has prayed for a direction upon the	
	respondents to give appointment to the applicant on	
	compassionate ground on cancellation of letter dated	
	August 14, 2006, issued by the respondent No.2, by which	
	prayer of the applicant for compassionate appointment	
	was rejected.	
	One Chandra Mohan Soren, father of the applicant	
	died on May 15, 1995 while he was working as Forest	
	Guard under the Department of Forest, Government of	
	West Bengal. On June 13, 2002, the mother of the	
	applicant submitted one informal application before the	
	respondent No. 4 praying for compassionate appointment	
	of the applicant. The said application was processed in	
	due course and ultimately rejected and the order of	
	rejection was communicated to the applicant under letter	
	dated August 14, 2006 which is under challenge in the	
	present application.	

### **ORDER SHEET**

**BISWAJIT SOREN** 

Form	No.
1 01111	INO.

....

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

### Case No. **OA 1655 OF 2007**

Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
	3
-	
applicant submits that the grounds of rejection of prayer of	
the applicant have not been communicated by the	
respondent No. 2 under letter dated August 14, 2006 and	
as such, the said letter is liable to be quashed. She further	
submits that the delay in filing the application for	
compassionate appointment was due to ignorance of the	
provision of the scheme by the applicant. On the other	
hand Learned Counsel representing the State respondents	
submits that there was delay of more than seven years in	
submitting the application for compassionate appointment,	
which indicates that there was no financial crisis in the	
family of the deceased employee.	
On consideration of the impugned order dated	
August 14, 2006 we find that the reasons for rejection of	
prayer for compassionate appointment of the applicant	
were not communicated to the applicant. We would have	
quashed the said letter dated August 14, 2006 issued by	
the respondent No. 2 had there been no delay in	
submission of the application for compassionate	
appointment. It appears from the materials on record that	
there was inordinate delay of almost seven years in	
	Mrs. Sunita Agarwal, Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that the grounds of rejection of prayer of the applicant have not been communicated by the respondent No. 2 under letter dated August 14, 2006 and as such, the said letter is liable to be quashed. She further submits that the delay in filing the application for compassionate appointment was due to ignorance of the provision of the scheme by the applicant. On the other hand Learned Counsel representing the State respondents submits that there was delay of more than seven years in submitting the application for compassionate appointment, which indicates that there was no financial crisis in the family of the deceased employee.  On consideration of the impugned order dated August 14, 2006 we find that the reasons for rejection of prayer for compassionate appointment of the applicant were not communicated to the applicant. We would have quashed the said letter dated August 14, 2006 issued by the respondent No. 2 had there been no delay in submission of the application for compassionate appointment. It appears from the materials on record that

## **ORDER SHEET**

B	ISWA	JIT	SOR	EN

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. OA 1655 OF 2007

Case No. OA 1655 OF 2007					
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature			
Date of order.	2	of parties when necessary			
1		3			
	submission of the application for compassionate				
	appointment. In the absence of any explanation for				
	submitting application for compassionate appointment				
	after seven years of death of the father of the applicant, we				
	can very well hold that there was no acute financial crisis				
	in the family of the deceased employee after death of the				
	concerned employee and as such, the applicant did not				
	submit application promptly after the death of his father.				
	In view of our above findings, we do not find any				
	merit in the preset application and as such, the application				
	is dismissed.				
	Let plain copy of the order be supplied to both				
	parties.				
	(S.K. Das) (R.K. Bag)				
	MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)				
CCN					
SCN.					